

1 NOT FOR PUBLICATION  
2  
3  
4  
5

6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 James Oliver Romine,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 James Nicholas Stanton,

13 Defendant.  
14

No. CV-16-00604-PHX-JJT

**ORDER**

15 At issue are *pro se* Plaintiff James Oliver Romine's Motion for Leave to File  
16 Amendment to Motion in Response to Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Original Complaint  
17 (Doc. 22), Motion for Leave to File Excess Pages of Amendment to Motion in Response  
18 to Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Original Complaint (Doc. 23), and Motion for Leave to  
19 File a Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Amended Motion in Response to  
20 Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Original Complaint (Doc. 27), to which Defendant James  
21 Nicholas Stanton filed a Response in Opposition (Doc. 29).

22 Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 19) and Plaintiff's original Response thereto  
23 (Doc. 20) were filed on the same day. The next day, Plaintiff filed his first motion to  
24 amend as well as a motion to exceed the page limit (Docs. 22, 23), both in order to amend  
25 his Response. Because Plaintiff lodged his amended Response (Doc. 24) immediately  
26 after filing his original Response and Defendant considered the amendment in preparing  
27 his Reply, the Court will grant Plaintiff's first motion to amend and motion to exceed the  
28 page limit (Docs. 22, 23).

